## ADDENDUM NO. 3

Date: February 12, 2020
To: Potential Bidders
From: Diane M. Murzynski, CPPO, CPPB, Purchasing Coordinator
Re: Pickleball Courts Invitation to Bid (ITB)
The purpose of this Addendum is to modify the ITB documents only to the extent indicated herein. All other areas not changed or otherwise modified by other addenda shall remain in full force and effect. This Addendum is hereby made an integral part of the original Project Documents. The Bidder shall sign acknowledging they read and understand that this Addendum includes and incorporates the following changes or provides clarifications to questions submitted by potential Bidders.

1. Per meeting: Contractor requests $13 / 4 "$ chain-link mesh on the multi-use court ( $10^{\prime}$ \& $12^{\prime}$ tall areas) and 2 " mesh on the rest. Mesh to be identified as 8 gauge (finish) with 11 -gauge core, black vinyl coated fabric.
a. City accepts this recommendation.
2. Per meeting: Contractor requests post and rails to be DQ40 rather than Schedule 40, as it powder coats better. This is a standard ODOT, as well as industry standard substitution when galvanized pipe is powder coated.
a. City accepts this substitution.
3. Per meeting: Contractor requests bottom rail rather than bottom tension wire. Sheet 7 in several areas references both can be used but doesn't specifically call out for bottom rail.
a. City requires a bottom rail on all exterior court fencing.
4. Per site visit: Contractor noted existing is $12^{\prime}$ tall and has a middle rail and requests a middle rail on the 12 ' high section only. Sheet 7 discusses pros and cons but doesn't state a formal specification.
a. City revises $12^{\prime}$ tall fence to 10 ' tall fence with no middle rail.
5. Per site visit: Contractor noted Sheet 2 calls for $12^{\prime}$ fence to remain on west side. This existing fence is galvanized (not black). That fence needs to also be removed and 128' of new black fence needs to be installed instead of the $18^{\prime}$ of $12^{\prime}$ tall as noted under Item C-4.
a. See City response to Statement 7 below.
6. Contractor noted that an $8^{\prime}$ tall gate is needed in this end of the fence.
a. City agrees an $8^{\prime}$ tall gate is needed at this end of the fence.
7. Contractor noted 3 sides of this portion of the fencing is specified as 10 ' tall. You could save money by changing the 4th side to 10 ' tall as well since the $12^{\prime}$ tall needs to be removed since it's not black. That would also eliminate the added cost middle rail.
a. City revises the height to 10 ' tall fencing on all sides of the multi-use Tennis/Pickleball court and will eliminate the middle rail.
8. Contractor noted the schedule of values in "Schedule C" doesn't match the prints and would need altered since the footage of the 12 ' tall area is changing.
a. City revised the fence height, see corrections made above to remedy this inconsistency.
